

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

9
10 BITTITAN, INC.,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 JOHN DOE, et al.,

14 Defendants.

CASE NO. C17-0046JLR

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE


15 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4 requires a plaintiff to serve the defendant with a
16 summons and a copy of the plaintiff's complaint and sets forth the specific requirements
17 for doing so. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 4. Rule 4(m), which provides the timeframe in which
18 service must be effectuated, states in relevant part:

19 If a defendant is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the
20 court—on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff—must dismiss
21 the action without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be
22 made within a specified time. But if the plaintiff shows good cause for the
failure, the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period.

1 *Id.* Here, Plaintiff Bittitan, Inc., has failed to identify the Doe defendant and serve the
2 Doe defendant and Defendant bit-titan.com with a summons and a copy of the complaint
3 within the timeframe provided in Rule 4(m).

4 Accordingly, the court ORDERS Bittitan to SHOW CAUSE within seven (7) days
5 of the entry of this order why this action should not be dismissed for failure to comply
6 with Rule 4(m). If Bittitan does not timely demonstrate good cause for its failure, the
7 court will dismiss the action without prejudice.

8 Dated this th28 day of April, 2017.

9 
10 JAMES L. ROBART
11 United States District Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22